ELEMENTARY PROOFS OF KEMPE UNIVERSALITY

S. C. POWER

Abstract. Elementary proofs are given that various connected algebraic curves and continuous curves in the plane may be traced out by pinned linkages.

arXiv:1511.09002v1 [math.MG] 29 Nov 2015

1. Introduction

In a succinct three page paper A. B. Kempe [13] indicated "a General Method of describing Plane Curves of the n^{th} degree by Linkwork". A *linkage* is another name for a finite bar-joint framework (G, p) in the usual sense ([2], [7]), with links being bars, and a linkwork is a linkage which has some vertices, or joints, pinned in order to remove isometric spatial motion. Kempe gave a method to show that any algebraic curve in the plane could be "described" by the free motion of a joint of a planar pinned linkage. Precise versions of this assertion have been referred to as Kempe universality for the curves or algebraic sets under consideration.

The following theorem for polynomial curves is stated in Connelly and Demaine [3] who propose that this is a precise version of what Kempe was trying to claim.

Theorem 1.1. *Let C be a set in the plane that is the polynomial image of a closed interval. Then there is a planar bar-joint framework (G, p) with some joints pinned such the set of positions of a particular joint in any continuous motion of (G, p) is equal to C.*

It has long been known that Kempe's argument are incomplete (eg. Hopcroft et al [8]) since the linkage it provides for a particular curve may have undesired motions. Theorem 1.1 was obtained for complex polynomial curves by King ([15], Theorem 2) who attributes the result to Kapovich and Millson [10] and to Thurston. See also Jordan and Steiner [9]. These authors also consider how general compact real algebraic varieties may be represented by several joints of pinned planar linkages, either isometrically or up to some form of isomorphism. See also King [16], [17]. The arguments differ from Kempe's approach and are somewhat indirect and lengthy, even for planar algebraic sets. We give an elementary proof of a strengthened form of Theorem 1.1 by means of a direct Cartesian coordinates approach.

In fact Abbott [1] has recently shown that Kempe's original argument can be completed by applying corrective bracing measures for various contraparallelogram connections. See also Demaine and O'Rourke [4]. Such corrective measures, together with the well-known parallelogram bracing, lead to the following theorem. We define the *continuous trace* of a joint in a pinned linkage to be the set of positions it may occupy in any continuous motion, as in the theorem above.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification: 14P05, 52C25, 55R80*
Key words and phrases: Kempe universality, linkages, algebraic curves, infinite bar-joint frameworks.

Theorem 1.2. *Let C be a nonempty pathwise-connected component of the subset of the zero-set of a nonzero real polynomial $f(x, y)$ which lies in a closed disc. Then there exists a pinned planar bar-joint framework (G, p) such that the continuous trace of one of the joints is equal to C.*

We give an elementary proof of this theorem in Section 3 which avoids contraparallelogram connections. Note that the zero set $V(f)$ of $f(x, y)$ may contain branching points and so C need not be equal to the image of a closed interval under a polynomial (or rational) function. This second branching issue was not considered by Kempe but is accommodated for in the hypotheses, and we have the following theorem as an immediate corollary.

Theorem 1.3. *A compact connected real algebraic curve is the continuous trace of a single joint of a pinned linkage.*

Kapovich and Millson in fact consider the entire configuration space of a pinned linkage in which case the set of corresponding positions, or *orbit*, of a particular joint may be a disconnected set. In other words, one lifts the tracing joint from the plane, reassembles the linkage, except for the pinned joints, into a new *equivalent* planar position, and continues to trace, repeating the process until nothing new can be drawn. As we discuss in Section 3 the corrected Kempe proofs also extend naturally to cover this context also, and so we obtain the fact, due to Kapovich and Millson, that any compact real algebraic curve (ie., a compact real variety $V(f)$) is realisable as the orbit of a single joint of a pinned planar linkage.

In all proofs of variants of Kempe universality, for finite polynomial curves, rational curves, or algebraic curves, it is necessary to have component linkages which simulate multiplication in some manner. Kempe resolved this by making a change to polar coordinates and by expressing products of trigonometric functions in terms of multiple angle functions, thereby reducing the task to simpler operations. Kapovich and Millson, and also King, simulate multiplication much more indirectly with algebraic methods and combinations of linkages. On the other hand Jordan and Steiner's multiplication linkage derives from an elementary parallel line principle. We make use of this principle below for the design of an elementary multiplicator.

Algorithmic aspects of Kempe universality are also of great interest in computational geometry and applied mathematics. See, for example, Abbott [1], Gallet et al [5], Gao et al [6], Kobel [18] and Li et al [19]. In particular Gallet et al develop interesting factorisation methods in noncommutative algebra for the construction of explicit linkages and obtain a proof of Kempe universality for *rationally parametrised curves*. See also Li et al [19]. Part of the interest here is to generate rational curves efficiently and succinctly. Kempe universality for rationally parametrised curves could be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.4. *Let C be the image of a map $\gamma : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ where $\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ and $x(t), y(t)$ are rational functions. Then there is a planar pinned linkage such that the continuous trace of one of the joints is C.*

In the next section we obtain the stronger version of Theorem 1.1 which has an additional conclusion which we may paraphrase as follows: the speed of the tracing joint implied by an input joint with constant velocity matches the speed of the given polynomial parametrisation. The method also readily applies to rationally parametrised curves

and so we obtain an elementary proof of Theorem 1.4 with the same parameter control. The constructive proof is novel in that repeated use is made of elementary *linearising linkages*. Also, the proof strategy differs from the Kempe's inverse approach in that we directly realise the Cartesian coordinate motions $t \to (x(t), 0)$ and $t \to (0, y(t))$. From these synchronised tracings the curve tracing joint p_C is determined by a final placing of linearisers.

Note that neither one of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4 subsumes the other even for simple curves. Indeed, a simple curve satisfying the equation $x^4+y^4-1 = 0$ is not rationally parametrisable and yet Theorem 1.2 provides a continuous trace linkage for it. Also, if C is a simple curve subset of the polynomially parametrised curve $t \to (3(3-t^2), t(3-t^2)), t \in \mathbb{R}$, which contains the crossover point $(0, 0)$ (as a nonend-point) then C does not satisfy the requirements of Theorem 1.2.

In the final section we prove an infinite linkage version of Kempe universality for continuous curves. Specifically we show that a continuous curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ may be continuously traced by the joint of a pinned linkage (G, p) which has, up to reparametrisation, a unique continuous flex . This includes the case of continuous curves of positive area. We can also arrange that the underlying countable graph has finite valency, that is vertices of uniformly bounded degree. This improves the result in Owen and Power [21] where there were vertices of G with countable degree. The proof method is novel, being based on the Cartesian approach of Section 2 with additinal sequential constructions that illustrate the convenience of linearising linkages.

2. Polynomial and rational curves

In the proof of Theorem 2.1 we use four types of building block linkages, namely, *linearisers*, *separation translators*, *separation copiers*, and *separation multipliers*. Each of these is a planar bar-joint framework with a simple input-output function.

A *linearising linkage* is a bar-joint framework which contains a finite number of distinguished joints which are constrained to be linear. We also require that if these joints are pinned and are not all coincident, then there are no continuous motions.

A *separation copier* is a linkage with two pairs of joints A, B and A', B', whose separation distances must coincide but which are otherwise completely free within a neighbourhood of one (and hence any) of these four joints, and this neighbourhood can be arbitrarirly large. We view one variable separation distance as an input which ensures the same separation distance for the pair of output joints. We also require that if the four joints are pinned then there are no continuous motions.

A *separation translator* is a restricted separation copier for in the sense that the vectors AB and $A'B'$ are always parallel. In fact we obtain a separation copier as a concatenation of a separation translator and a *separation rotator*, which we use in the proof in the next section.

Finally, our *separation multiplier* linkages have 3 distinguished joints A, B, C, with A and C constrained (by linearisers) to the nonnegative x-axis and B constrained to the nonnegative y-axis. The separations $|OA|$ and $|OB|$ are inputs that can vary independently within some bound. Also, specifying these distances stops all continuous motions of the linkage and the separation $|OC|$ is the product of $|OA|$ and $|OB|$.

We now show an elementary way of realising these linkage functions.

Figure 1 shows the famous *Peaucellier linkage*. The joints at p_1 and p_2 are pinned. The motion of p_3 is constrained to a circular arc about p_2 and the joint p_C describes a vertical line segment. The dashed bars between p_3 and p_C have been added to stop p_C reaching the extremal position (where branching motions occur).




FIGURE 1. The Peaucellier linkage, with constraining bars (dashed)

Note that bars and joints may intersect and pass through each other. Thus, the only constraints are the preservation of the lengths of the bars, and the pinning of some joints. With this in mind we may replicate the mobile structure attached to p_1 and p_2, with any finite multiplicity, say n. This *n-fold Peaucellier linkage* has n joints which are constrained to be co-linear and so in this way we obtain linearising linkages.

The schematic diagram in Figure 2 underlies the construction of a separation multiplier linkage. The joints at the origin O and at $X = (0, 1), Y = (1, 0)$ are connected by bars. Three linearising linkages (not shown) constrain each of the set of joints $\{O, X, A, C\}, \{O, Y, B\}$ and $\{B, A', C\}$ to be colinear. Finally, the joint at A' is defined by a *separation translator*, as given below, with input pair $\{Y, A\}$ and output pair $\{B, A\}'$ respectively. The resulting geometry ensures that $|OC| = |OA||OB|$ for all values $0 \leq |OA|, |OB| \leq R$, for some $R > 0$, which may be arbitrary, and which depends on the choices of the linearisers. (The parallel line principle here also underlies Jordan and Steiner's more subtle multiplicator linkage [9].)




FIGURE 2. Schematic diagram for a separation multiplier: $|OC| = |OA||OB|$.

A separation translator, of the type we have defined above, is given in Figure 3 where the small squares indicate "bracing" bar-pin joints which may be substituted, as in Figure 4, to obtain a pure bar and joint framework. This bracing structure for the parallelogram $BWYX$ ensures that in all continuous motions of this parallelogram the vectors BW and XY remain parallel. The equality of $|BX|$ and $|AX|$ ensure that all separation distance are possible in the range $0 \leq |AB| \leq |AX| + |BX|$.

Finally, Figure 5 is a schematic diagram for the construction of a separation rotator. It includes a 4-bar parallelogram linkage with joints A, C, D, C', whose 4 bars have equal length, and this parallelogram is braced (not shown), as in the translator. The joint E joins the equal length bars BE and $B'E$, and there are three 3-fold linearising linkages (not shown) which constrain B (resp. E, resp. B') to be colinear with AC (resp. AD resp. AC').




FIGURE 3. A separation translator linkage.




FIGURE 4. A bar-pin joint and its substitute.




FIGURE 5. Part of a separation rotator; the variable separation $|AB|$ is equal to $|AB'|$, and the angle BAB' is variable independently.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $C = \gamma([0,1])$ where $\gamma : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is a polynomially curve. Then there is a finite planar bar-joint framework (G,p) with joints $p_1 = (0,0), p_2 = (1,0)$ and joints $p_L = (1,0), p_C = \gamma(0)$, for which there is a continuous flex $p(t), t \in [0,1]$, of (G,p) satisfying the following properties.*

(i) $p_i(t) = p_i$, for $i = 1,2$ and $t \in [0,1]$.

(ii) $p_L(t) = (1+t, 0)$ and $p_C(t) = \gamma(t)$, for $t \in [0,1]$.

(iii) For any continuous motion $q(t)$ of (G,p) with $q_i(t) = p_i$, for $i = 1,2$, we have $q_L(t) = (1 + s(t), 0)$, for some $s(t) \in [0,1]$, and $q_C(t) = \gamma(s(t))$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Note that a *positive scalar multiplying linkage* is realizable from a separation multiplier linkage by fixing one of the inputs to be the scalar by adding a bar of that length. Also a *separation powering linkage* (in which the input separation $|AB|$ determines the output separation $|A'B'| = |AB|^k$) is also readily achievable through a concatenation of separation multipliers.

For the construction of (G,p) we start with the bar-joint framework $\mathcal{G}_0$ consisting of triangular framework with vertices $p_1 = (0,0), p_2 = (1,0), p_3 = (0,1)$ and the 3 connecting bars between them, together with $p_L = (1,0)$ and a lineariser for the triple to p_1, p_2 and p_L.

Let $x(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_n t^n$. By translating the origin horizontally we may assume that $a_0 = 0$. Consider p_2 and p_L as an input pair, and consider the separation between p_2 and $p_L(t)$, which we define to be $(1+t, 0)$, for $t \in [0,1]$. By the discussion above for each $k = 1, \ldots, n$ with $a_k \neq 0$ there exists a linkage (being a concatenation of a powering linkage and a scalar multiplication linkage) with input pair $p_2, p_L(t)$ and an output pair with separation $|a_k| t^k$. Linearise these output joints to the x-axis, and arrange that

(i) the first output pair includes the joint $p_1 = (0,0)$, and the other output joint lies to the right or left of p_1 depending on the sign of a_1.

(ii) consecutive output pairs share a joint, with the choice of this joint depending on the sign of the new nonzero coefficient ak.

(iv) the unidentified joint of the final output pair is located at $(x(t), 0)$.

We can repeat this similarly for the polynomial $y(t)$, that is, adding a linkage so that the input separation $t = |p_2(t) - p_2|$ determines an output joint at position $(0, y(t))$.

Finally we may add linearisers and separation translators to create a joint p_C at position $(x(t), y(t))$, as indicated in Figure 6 (where X, Y are the locations of p_2, p_3). Constrain p_L to the line segment $[(1,0), (2,0)]$ and the resulting linkage has the desired properties. □

Note that by swapping the output for an input the separation multiplier linkage becomes a *separation divider linkage*. It follows readily that one may prove the rational curve version of this theorem in the same manner. The methods also extends readily to curves in higher dimensions.




FIGURE 6. Defining the joint $p_C(t)$.

3. Algebraic curves.

Kempe used building block linkages in which one or two bars played the role of input and where an output bar displayed the desired geometry. These linkages performed the role of bar translation, angle addition, and the angle multiplier, $\theta \to n\theta$. The angle multiplier building block employed a nested bar-pin contraparallelogram construction, a concatenation of $n-1$ angle adders.

In the next proof we make use of separation translators, as in the previous section, and a simple *angle adding linkage* which uses a separation copier rather than a contraparallelogram: in Figure 7 the equality $|AB| = |A'B'|$ is forced by a separation copier (not shown) so that the "output" bar through OB' is at inclination $\theta + \phi$. Here the pin-bar joints A, B, A', B' are equidistant from O. In fact Kempe indicates angle adders of essentially this design in the penultimate page of his book [14].




FIGURE 7. Angle adding linkage.

The proof of Theorem 1.2. Let

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{0 \le i+j \le n} a_{ij} x^i y^j$$

We may assume that C lies in the first quadrant and that there are positive integers a, b such that each point $(x,y) = \gamma(t)$ on C is given by a unique pair θ, ϕ with

$$x = a\cos\theta + b\cos\phi, \quad y = a\sin\theta + b\sin\phi$$




FIGURE 8. Changing to θ, ϕ coordinates.

Substituting and using standard trigonometric formulae obtain a representation

$$\begin{aligned} F(\theta,\phi) &= f(a\cos\theta + b\cos\phi, a\sin\theta + b\sin\phi) \\ &= A_{0,0} + \sum_{0<r+s\leq n} A_{r,s}\cos(r\theta + \sigma_{r,s}s\phi + \psi_{r,s}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_{r,s} \in \{-1,1\}, \psi_{r,s} \in \{0,\pi/2\}$.

Consider now any total ordering of the nonzero coefficients $A_{r,s}$ in the sum. To construct the desired bar joint framework (G,p) fix θ,ϕ for some point (x,y) on the set C and write $p_C = p_C(\theta,\phi)$ for a joint at this location. Let $\mathcal{G}_0$ be the corresponding 4-bar parallelogram bar-joint framework, as in Figure 8.

For the first nonzero coefficient $A_{r,s}$ in the ordering we use an angle adding linkage to construct a linkage $\mathcal{G}_1'$ which includes

(i) the joints $p_1 = (0,0), p_2 = (1,0)$,

(ii) the two bars of $\mathcal{G}_0$ that are incident to p_1, as angle inputs,

(iii) an output bar connecting p_1 and p_3, where p_3 has polar coordinates

$$(A_{r,s}, r\theta + \sigma_{r,s}s\phi + \psi_{r,s})$$

View p_1 and p_2 (whose role is given below) as pinned vertices and let $\mathcal{G}_1$ be the union of $\mathcal{G}_0$ and $\mathcal{G}_1'$. If there are further nonzero coefficients repeat this construction of $\mathcal{G}_1'$ corresponding to the second nonzero coefficient, to similarly create a joint p_4', and in addition add a separation translator with input pair p_1, p_4' and output pair p_3, p_4. This ensures that the vector $p_4 - p_3$ has polar coordinates associated with this second nonzero coefficient.

Continuing in this manner we obtain a composite linkage $\mathcal{G}$ which includes a finite sequence of joints $p_3, p_4, \ldots$ terminating in a joint, $p_L = p_L(\theta,\phi)$ say, whose x-coordinate is equal to

$$\sum_{0<r+s\leq n} A_{r,s}\cos(r\theta + \sigma_{r,s}s\phi + \psi_{r,s})$$

It follows that if p_1 is pinned and p_C moves continuously on the set C then p_L moves on the line $x = -A_{0,0}$.

To complete the construction of (G,p) we add bars and joints to constrain p_L to the line $x = -A_{0,0}$ and to constrain p_C to the closed disc. The first constraint may be achieved by adding an appropriately generous lineariser to further constrain p_L to a line L through

2 joints positions, with x-coordinate $-A_{0,0}$, which are determined by bars to the pinned joints p_1, p_2. The second constraint may be achieved by adding a Peaucellier linkage to constrain the separation between p_C and a p_1, p_2-determined joint at the centre of the disc.

It remains to show that with p_1 and p_2 pinned the continuous trace of p_C is equal to C. This follows immediately from the construction of (G, p) and the hypotheses for C, since $p_C(\theta', \phi')$ lies on C if and only if $p_L(\theta', \phi')$ lies on L. □

Some care must be taken when comparing different variants of Kempe universality since joints may be said to *describe* C, *trace* C, have *orbit* or *workspace* equal to C, and so forth. In [1] Abbott uses the terminology *drawable* to describe a set which coincides with the orbit of the joint (as defined in the introduction). Using both braced contraparallelograms and parallelograms Abbott [1] indicates how an extended Kempe argument can be carried out to obtain the following theorem of Kapovich and Millson [10]. See also Demaine and O'Rourke [4].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ be a polynomial, and let B be a closed disk in the plane. Then there exists a planar linkage that draws the set $C = B \cap \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : f(x, y) = 0\}$.*

An important point of the proof of this theorem is that not only must the bifurcating continuous motion of parallelograms and contraparallelograms be ruled out but that the configuration space of the resulting pinned framework (G, p) should present no unexpected configurations. More precisely, in the construction above the joints $p_3, p_4, \ldots$ should be uniquely determined by θ, ϕ even if the configurations of the connecting building blocks are not uniquely determined. Note for example that our (braced) separation translator with input pair $\{A, B\}$ and output pair $\{A', B'\}$ has the property that B' is determined by A, B and A' even though the translator for this admits two configurations. The same is true of a Kempe bar translator (suitably braced).

We now show how the previous proof can be adjusted to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. First note that the pinned linkage construction of (G, p) for $f(x, y)$ and the closed disc B does not serve for the linkage needed for the proof, for the simple reason that the given two-joint pinning allows an equivalent placement of the pinned linkage arising from reflection in the x-axis. To remove this possibility first add a joint p_* at $(0, 1)$ as an additional pinned joint. Also, add connecting bars to p_* to ensure that the joints constructed at the centre of the closed disc B and on the line $x = -A_{0,0}$ are each connected by 3 bars to p_1, p_2, p_*. (Alternatively one could simply declare these joints to be pinned joints.) This will ensure that these joints occupy the same positions in all equivalent placements of the pinned linkage (G, p) and in particular in any equivalent position of the adjusted pinned framework (G, p) the joint p_C will lie in the closed disc B.

In contrast to the separation translator the angle adding linkage, underlying Figure 7, in which B' should be determined by the positions of A, B and A' has another configuration which determines $\alpha - \beta$. This arises from a configuration which reverses the vector direction $A'B'$, "flipping OB' over OA'". It arises because the separation rotator used in the separation copier is unrestricted. However we may assume first, by translating C, that $0 < \alpha, \beta < \pi/4$. Secondly, we may restrict the rotation range of separation rotators to the range from $-\pi/4$ to $+\pi/4$, by adding bars (as in the Peaucellier restriction, Figure 1). This restores the desired uniqueness. A fixed angle addition of $+\pi/4$ can be similarly

forced (amongst equivalent configurations of the linkage), and a fixed angle addition of $\pi/2$ can then be achieved by concatenating two such linkages.

With these adjustments the sequence $p_3, p_4, \ldots$ is uniquely determined by θ, ϕ and the connecting building blocks and the proof is completed as before. □

Remark 3.2. How wrong was Kempe ? In the last proof we noted that we can assume that θ and ϕ take values in the range from 0 to $\pi/4$ and this enabled us to use restricted separation copiers in order to obtain the desired uniqueness. It seems that a similar somewhat more complicated trick is available for Kempe's contraparallelogram building blocks. The "reversor", which can perform the angle addition $\alpha+\alpha$, is a pin bar structure indicated in Figure 9, with 2 contraparallelogram substructures. The "multiplicator" performs a general addition $\alpha+\beta$ (and so, with repetition, it can effect the multiplication $\theta \to r\theta$). This is obtained by superimposing two reversors by merging their reflection bar OX.




FIGURE 9. Kempe's reversor: the output bar OY has angle $\alpha+\alpha$.




FIGURE 10. Kempe's multiplicator: the output bar OY has angle $\alpha+\beta$.

For the reversor there is no bifurcation of motion if $0 < \alpha < \pi/4$, since the contraparallelograms remain nondegenerate in this range (and more). For the multiplicator there is degeneracy if $\beta = \alpha$ but there is no bifurcation if $0 < \alpha < \beta < \pi/4$.

With these observations we now note that by translating C sufficiently to the right (or moving the origin to the left) a and b can be chosen so that for all pairs θ, ϕ associated with points (x, y) of the disc B we have

$$0 < n\phi < \theta, \quad (n+1)\theta < \pi/4$$

where n is the degree of f. Use the reversor to construct 2ϕ, then additors to construct $3\phi, \ldots, n\phi$, and then reversors to construct $-2\phi, \ldots, -n\phi$. Then we may use additors to construct the desired angles $r\theta + \sigma_{r,s} s\phi$, and in all cases no degenerate contraparallelograms occur for (x, y) in B. Also, the addition of $\pi/2$ is straightforward. So it seems, after all, that Kempe's arguments have been closer than previously thought to showing that the curves describable by linkwork include all (connected) bounded curves of the n^{th} degree.

Remark 3.3. Concerning generalisations of planar Kempe universality we note that characterisations of higher dimensional drawable sets can be obtained by constructions and arguments similar to those in the planar case. See, for example, Abbott [1] for a Kempian approach, and [9], [10], [15], [16], [17] for other approaches.

We also remark that there is a related diffeomorphic theory involving the full configuration space of a linkage, as in the following theorem of Kapovich and Millson. See also the related developments in this direction in Kourganoff [11].

Theorem 3.4. *Any compact connected smooth manifold is diffeomorphic to a connected component of the configuration space of a pinned planar linkage. Moreover, there is a configuration space whose connected components are diffeomorphic to the differentiable manifold.*

By way of comparison note that the linkage constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.1 has a configuration space with disjoint connected components, with the components corresponding to choices for the separation translators.

4. Continuous curves

In Owen and Power [21], [20] we made use of Kempe universality for polynomial curves to obtained the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f : [1, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a continuous function. Then there is a countable bar-joint framework (G, p) in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with two pinned joints and a joint whose continuous trace is $f([0, 1])$.*

In fact the parameter strengthened form of Theorem 1.1 is used without proof in [21] and this incompleteness is remedied by Theorem 2.1.

The strategy of the proof in [21] is as follows. For the given function $t \to f(t)$ Theorem 2.1 is used to build an increasing sequence of frameworks by adding finite "higher order building blocks", each with the same two pinned joints, such that the k^{th} addition contains a joint q_k whose continuous flex $q_k(t)$ is polynomially parametrised and approximates $f(t)$ uniformly. With the approximations chosen to tend to zero it is then possible to add, finally, additional bars and joints which tether a particular joint p_C so that at time t its position $p_C(t)$ is equal to $f(t) = \lim_k q_k(t)$. This infinite tethering implies that the joint p_C belongs to a countable sequence of bars, or that the associated vertex in the graph G has infinite degree.

On the other hand, in the following proof the infinite tethering is done indirectly by sequences of constraints to two virtual lines with fixed direction (parallel to the coordinate axes) through p_C, and these virtual lines are readily created by sequences of linearisers.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\gamma : [1, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a continuous function. Then there is a countable bar-joint framework (G, p) in $\mathbb{R}^2$, with G of finite valency, such that there are joints $p_0 =$*

$(0,0), p_1 = (0,-1), p_L = (1/4,-1), p_C = \gamma(0)$, *and a continuous flex* $p(t), t \in [0,1]$, *of* (G,p), *which satisfies the following properties.*

(i) $p_i(t) = p_i$, *for* $i = 0,1$ *and* $t \in [0,1]$.

(ii) $p_L(t) = (-1/4 + t, -1)$ *and* $p_C(t) = \gamma(t)$, *for* $t \in [0,1]$.

(iii) For any continuous motion $q(t)$ *of* (G,p) *with* $q_i(t) = p_i$, *for* $i = 0,1$, *we have* $q_L(t) = (-1/4 + s(t), -1)$, *for some* $s(t) \in [0,1]$, *and* $q_C(t) = \gamma(s(t))$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that the range of γ lies in the open unit square $(0,1) \times (0,-1)$. Let $\mathcal{G}_1$ be the L-shaped grid framework whose joints are located at $(k,0), (k,-1)$ and $(0,-(k+2)), (1,-(k+2))$, for $k = 0,1,2,\ldots$. Moreover, $\mathcal{G}_1$ is a rigid bar-joint frameworks with horizontal and vertical unit length bars between these joints (shown in Figure 11) and a diagonal bar in each of the unit square subframeworks (not shown).

Using countably many 3-fold linearisers (no linearisers are shown) we can readily arrange that there are joints $q_0, q_1, q_2, \ldots$, which lie on the same vertical line through $p_C = \gamma(0)$ and, respectively, lie on the horizontal lines with y intercept $0, -1, -2, \ldots$. We similarly constrain the sequence of joints $r_0, r_1, r_2, \ldots$, as shown. Also, a linearisers is added to constrain the joint p_2 to the line $y = -1$, and sequences of linearisers are added to constrain joints $p_{2,k}$ placed at $(1/4, -k)$ to lie on $y = -k$, for $k = 2,3,\ldots,$, and to have the same x-coordinate as p_2. This completes the constructions of $\mathcal{G}_2 \supset \mathcal{G}_1$. The joint p_2 can be considered as the driving joint for a flex of $\mathcal{G}_2$. Note that in any flex which fixes the joints p_0, p_1 the joints $p_{2,k}$ move horizontally in unison with p_2.




FIGURE 11. Construction scheme for p_C.

For the next phase of the construction we consider the continuous coordinate functions $x(t), y(t)$ of $\gamma(t)$.

By the uniform density of polynomial functions there is a sequence $x_1(t), x_2(t), \ldots$ of polynomials such that for all t in $[0,1]$ we have $|x(t) - x_k(t)| \leq 1/k$ for all t, k. By Theorem 2.1 for each k there is a finite framework $\mathcal{H}_k$ which includes the joints at $(0,-k)$

and $(1, -k)$, which includes the driving joint $p_{2,k}$, which has an output joint $q_{C,k}$ at the position $(x_k(0), -k)$, and is such that there is a unique flex of $\mathcal{H}_k$ such that for all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$p_{2,k}(t) = p_{2,k}(t) + (t, 0), \quad q_{C,k}(t) = (-k, x_k(t))$$

The construction of the graph $\mathcal{H}_k$ is completed by adding a joint q'_k and two bars to the joints q_k and $p_{C,k}$ of length $1/k$. These "tethering bars" guarantee that in any continuous flex of the union of $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_k$ that $|q_k - p_{C,k}| \leq 1/k$. Since the q_k move horizontally in unison it follows that their x-coordinate for time t is $x(t)$.

In a similar way the graphs $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2$ are constructed for a sequence of polynomial approximants to $y(t)$. The framework (G, p) is defined to be the union of $\mathcal{G}_1$ and the frameworks $\mathcal{H}_k, \mathcal{K}_k$ for all k, together with linearisers for the triples q_0, q_1, p_C and r_0, r_1, p_C which constrain a joint p_C to the position $(x(t), y(t))$ at time t, as required. □




FIGURE 12. Tethering bars for $p_{C,1}$.

It should be clear that we can carry out the construction above on any L-shaped rectangularly celled grid extension of the unit grid containing the continuous curve. In particular we can arrange that the framework is bounded (at the expense of overcrowding).

Kempe's 1877 book, "How to draw a straight line", with its somewhat whimsical introduction, celebrates all manner of linkages and especially those that convert circular motion (a precise ruler and compasses construction) to the tracing of a straight line. Of the latter he asserts that: *If we are to draw a straight line with a ruler, the ruler must itself have a straight edge ; and how are we going to make the edge straight ? We come back to our starting-point."*

Bearing in mind the parameter control in the argument above and also the existence of continuous space filling curves, we obtain the following corollary which, in the same spirit, shows "How to draw a square".

Corollary 4.3. *Let R be the square $[-\pi/4, \pi/4] \times [-\pi/4, \pi/4]$, let S be its boundary and let C be the circle of unit radius*

(i) There is a bounded countable pinned planar bar-joint framework with bounded valence which has joints p_C, p_S whose continuous traces are C and S, and where these sets are traced with equal speed.

(ii) There is a bounded countable pinned planar bar-joint framework with bounded valence which has joints p_C, p_R whose continuous traces are C and R.

REFERENCES

[1] T. G. Abbott. Generalizations of Kempes universality theorem, Masters thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2008. Available at http://web.mit.edu/tabbott/www/ papers/mthesis.pdf

[2] L. Asimow and B. Roth, The rigidity of graphs, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 245 (1978), 279-289.

[3] R. Connelly and E. D. Demaine, Geometry and topology of polygonal linkages, in J. E. Goodman and J. O'Rourke, eds, Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry, 2nd Edition, Chap 9, pages 197-218, CRC Press, 2004.
[4] E.D. Demaine and J. O'Rourke, Geometric folding algorithms, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
[5] M. Gallet, C. Koutschan, Z. Li, G. Regensburger, J. Schicho, N. Villamizar, Planar Linkages Following a Prescribed Motion, arXiv:1502.05623, 2015.
[6] X-S Gao, C-C Zhu, S-C Chou, J-X Ge, Automated generation of Kempe linkages for algebraic curves and surfaces, Mechanism and Machine Th., 36 (2001), 1019-1033.
[7] J. Graver, B. Servatius and H. Servatius, Combinatorial rigidity, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol 2, Amer. Math. Soc., 1993.
[8] J. Hopcroft, D. Joseph, S. Whitesides, Movement problems for 2-dimensional linkages, SIAM J. Computing, 13 (1984), 610-629.
[9] D. Jordan and M. Steiner, Configuration Spaces of Mechanical Linkages, J. of Discrete and Comp. Geometry, 22 (1999), 297315.
[10] M. Kapovich and J.J. Millson, Universality theorems for configuration spaces of planar linkages, Topology 41 (2002), 1051-1107.
[11] M. Kourganoff, Universality theorems for linkages in the Minkowski plane, arXiv:1401.1050.
[12] A. B. Kempe, On a general method of producing exact rectilinear motion by linkwork, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London 23 (1875), 565-577.
[13] A. B. Kempe, On a general method of describing plane curves of the nth degree by linkwork, Proc. London Math. Soc., 7 (1876), 213-216.
[14] A. B. Kempe, How to draw a straight line: A Lecture on Linkages, Macmillan, 1879, https://archive.org/details/cu31924067113310. See also the online reset version http://www.mccme.ru/circles/spivak/Kempe/Kempe.htm.
[15] H. King, Planar linkages and algebraic sets, Turkish J. Math., 23 (1999), 33-56.
[16] H. King, Semiconfiguration spaces of planar linkages, arXiv.org:math/9810130.
[17] H. King, Configuration spaces of linkages in R^n, arXiv.org:math/9811138.
[18] A. Kobel. Automated generation of Kempe linkages for algebraic curves in a dynamic geometry system. Bachelors thesis, University of Saarbrcken, 2008. Available at https: //people.mpi-inf.mpg.de/ akobel/publications/Kobel08-kempe-linkages.pdf.
[19] Z. Li, J. Schicho, H-P Schrocker, Kempe's Universality Theorem for Rational Space Curves, preprint 2015, arXiv:1509.08690
[20] J.C. Owen and S.C. Power, Infinite bar-joint frameworks, Proceedings of the Symposium in Applied Computing, (SAC 2009) March 8-12, 2009, Honolulu, Hawaii, U.S.A.
[21] J.C. Owen and S.C. Power, Continuous curves from infinite Kempe linkages, Bull. London Math. Soc., 41 (2009), 1105-1111.

Dept. Math. Stats., Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YF, U.K.
E-mail address: s.power@lancaster.ac.uk